

# Financial Feasibility

To Lease, or not to Lease...

The question that tries potential Lessees' souls...

# Fundamental Concepts

- An “investment” is ostensibly the application of capital coupled with the expectation of return.
- What is my intention?
- Project scope. What do I intend to do?
- Project risk. What could possibly go wrong...?
- What are my goals?
  - Return of investment?
  - Return on investment?
  - Return on, and of, investment?

# Intrinsic V. Extrinsic Results

- Why am I undertaking this endeavor?
  - “Feel good”
  - “Appearances”
  - “Prove to Mom and Dad that their college money wasn’t wasted”
  - “Preserve a historic structure for the good of humankind”
  - “Preserve a historic structure, have some fun, and not go to the Poor House as a result”
  - “Take advantage of a unique opportunity to partner with the Federal Government”
  - “Help maintain historic legacy in a enthusiastic and productive environment and benefit in ways that cannot be measured by love or money”
- Infamous last words...
  - “Gee, we should have considered that more closely.....”
- How can you make a small fortune in the field of historic restoration?
  - Start out with a large fortune.
- Bottom line, define your goals, understand your capabilities, expect the best and the worst, be realistic.

# Applications

- Financial feasibility is demonstrated as a function of the following:
  - Cash flow out (a “negative” sum)
  - Plus (meaning “added to”)
  - Cash flows in (a series of “positive” sums)
  - Factored by a required rate of return
  - Equals (=) Net Present Value (“NPV”)
- Possible results:
  - NPV is negative...this shows that you did not reach the required return rate
  - NPV is positive...you did better than expected
  - NPV is -0-...you got what you planned for
- This is “it”. Nothing more, nothing less.

# Investment Examples

- Residential or Commercial note secured by a mortgage
  - Generally referred to as a “mortgage”.
- Who is the investor?
  - The Borrower...nope...
  - The Lender...yup, the entity that extends the capital is the investor.
- Describe the structure:
  - Borrower accepts capital investment from Lender and is obligated to repay.
  - Lender anticipates “return of” and “return on” capital invested.
  - The “return of” capital is called “amortization”.
  - The “return on” capital is called “return on” capital.

# Results of Mortgaged Investments

- Who wins here?
  - Borrower is able to accomplish project goals.
  - Lender is able to accomplish investment goals, return on, and of, capital.
- How do we know the relationship has been successful?
  - For a fully amortized loan, NPV of invested capital is -0-.
  - Borrower was able to pay off debt based upon financial capability.
  - Lender has made his required return \$\$ and got his money back.
- So a fully amortized loan results in a successful investment.

# Equity Investments & Return Requirements

- Mortgaged capital investment is usually partnered with the Borrower maintaining an “equity position”.
- Why? Because the Lender wants the Borrower to have “skin in the game”, and the Borrower wants to retain a larger portion of the returns to investment.
- So, as often happens, the Lender has an investment, the “mortgaged note”, and the Borrower has a separate investment, the “equity position”.
- Financed note and equity positions are usually expressed as a ratio, e.g. 70:30.
- Financial feasibility of the equity position is generally satisfied by:
  - Excess revenue returned to the equity position, or
  - Property appreciation at time of resale.
    - As there is no possibility of “resale”, a return to equity must be either intrinsic (joy, frolicking on the beach, great parties, & etc.) or result from revenue generated by operations.
    - Regardless, the equity position requirements must be satisfied if the equity investment is feasible.

# Capital Investment by Developer

- Developer (lessee) undertakes project with intention of selling out finished product.
  - This scenario follows the basic “Feasibility Analysis” discussed earlier. If NPV is -0- or positive he has made a successful investment.
- Developer (lessee) is “end user”.
  - In this instance the Developer intends to complete the renovation project and subsequently occupy and/or operate the facility for the term of lease.
  - This is the scenario that is most likely to occur among “owner-occupant” or “citizen” applicants
  - How is this scenario structured and viewed as an “investment”?

# “Historic” Leasing

- A lease under this RFP at Fort Hancock must return Fair Market Value to USA.
- NPS Gateway has published “minimum” rent requirements/guidelines for the various buildings covered by the RFP.
- NPS has made it clear that there will be a Common Area Maintenance (“CAM”) charge associated with use and occupancy.
- NPS has made it clear that the Lessee is responsible for payment of real estate taxes if the lease results in a “taxable estate” .
- So how is financial feasibility established under the Lessee/User-Occupant scenario?

# Relative to the Invested Capital

- The lease must return FMV.
- The invested capital must be returned.
- The investment must provide a satisfactory rate of return to all parties.
- All real estate operational costs must be expended during the term of lease and continual investment must be made to keep the premises in good condition.
- OMG!!!!!! This is soooooooooo complicated...**NOT**...now for the savvy investor strategy...
- How do you eat an elephant? Simple, one bite at a time.

# Eating the Elephant, the “Main Bite”

- How is my investment returned?
  - Regardless of whether the investment is from the mortgaged or equity position, view the return of invested capital as a “reverse mortgage” (sic).
  - Whatever the annual payments to the mortgaged note would be should be viewed as “prepaid rent”.
  - In other words, the repayment of invested capital offsets the rent requirement until the invested capital has been repaid. This is the main incentive offered by the Lessor.
- Can this system fail?
  - Nope, not unless the term of lease necessary to offset the return of capital investment (payments) exceeds the maximum allowed under the law, ostensibly 60 years.

# Eating the Elephant, the “Finer Aspects”

- If the major investment is financially feasible as demonstrated by a NPV calculation of -0- and satisfactory intrinsic reward, then how do we “assess” the occupational and operational aspects of the investment project?
  - Begin with the question, “Are the anticipated occupational and operational costs of this project expected to be higher? The same as? Or lower? Than a similar project outside the Park.”
  - Real estate taxes are expected to be “less”.
  - Electric, gas, telephone, cable, are expected to be “typical”.
  - Insurance will most likely include “flood”.
  - CAM is expected to be “typical”.

# Finishing Bites

- So, if  $NPV = 0$  and fixed and operational costs are expected to be the same as or less than is otherwise typical, what are we worried about?
  - Good question, let's explore the possibilities:
    - Fear of the unknown...if you follow a reasonable program of expectations and investment, an unusual level of concern should indicate a deficit of entrepreneurial incentive, be it personal or financial, or a lack of tolerance for entrepreneurial enterprise.
    - The solution is to reassess risk or undertake a different venture. The most savvy investors know when to “walk away”.
    - It is equally possible that expectations are “too high” to be supported by the venture.
    - Bottom line, if the numbers do not work for you, walk away.

# The End

- If at first you don't perceive, review review again.
- Ask reasonable questions. USA/NPS is here to help. All concerned sink or swim together.
- Leasing at fort Hancock under the current RFP is a unique and exciting opportunity.
- We hope you are among the successful respondents.
- Last comments from the author:
  - There is no substitute for due diligence.
  - It is the potential Lessee's obligation to conduct it's own due diligence. Help is available but not in place of effort. There is a vast plethora of information on the topics of Fort Hancock and the leasing process. Brew a fresh pot and start reading.