

FORT HANCOCK RFEI RESPONSE TEMPLATE

In addition to presenting a clear vision of your proposal for Fort Hancock, please respond to each of the evaluation criteria. All of the evaluation criteria are outlined below. Your input in the RFEI will help the park to develop specific preservation guidelines for Fort Hancock's historic rehabilitation that balance historic preservation with practical, achievable solutions. So, please clearly state what lease terms (for example, number of years), conditions, and preservation guidelines ([Guidelines for Rehabilitating Historic Structures](#)) you can work with. Also, *please tell us what terms may be potential deal breakers and why those terms may be too onerous for you.* Please be frank; we need your input!

PROPOSAL FOR FORT HANCOCK			
Your Vision/Concept:			
Building Use Proposal:			
Financial, Business and Management Concept:			
EVALUATION CRITERIA			
#	Criteria	Details	✓ Check
1	COMPATIBILITY	The compatibility of the applicant's proposal with the site's National Register and Landmark designations. For proposals that involve multiple buildings or mixed use concepts, respondents should describe the framework that unites differing elements of the proposed uses. The NPS seeks to adaptively reuse the historic buildings in an economic, visual, and programmatically compatible and cohesive manner.	
Response:			
2	IMPACT	The adequacy of measures that will be taken will ensure the proposed activity will not result in unacceptable adverse environmental impact to the ecological or cultural resources of the Sandy Hook peninsula.	
Response:			
3	FINANCIAL	The financial capability of the applicant to carry out the terms of the proposal and any corresponding lease, including the ability to finance building preservation and intended uses. Note, selected applicants will be expected to provide more detailed information about 1) financial resources, 2) investment/development track record,	

FORT HANCOCK RFEI RESPONSE TEMPLATE

		3) demonstrated experience and ability in raising money from investors, and 4) experience in public private partnerships. (Include a list of financial resources, and investment/development track record.)	
Response:			
4	EXPERIENCE	The demonstrated experience of the applicant and evidence of the applicant's managerial capability to carry out the terms of the proposed lease.	
Response:			
5	ENVIRONMENTAL	The ability and commitment of the applicant to conduct its activities in the park in an environmentally enhancing manner through, among other programs and actions, energy conservation, waste reduction, and recycling.	
Response:			
6	BENEFIT	The benefit to the NPS of the financial and other terms and conditions of the proposal, including, without limitation, the preservation of historic structures, and other favorable lease terms and conditions. (Lease terms and conditions that you feel are necessary to the viability of your proposal should be identified in the materials you submit.)	
Response:			
7	BUILDING USE	The compatibility of the proposal with the historic qualities of the building(s) to be utilized. (Identify each building that is proposed for repairs and/or improvements.)	
Response:			
8	QUALITY	Preference will be given on the basis of the quality of rehabilitation and reuse of existing structures, maintenance of the cultural landscape, and the degree to which visitor use, enjoyment, and education are enhanced.	
Response:			